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As the outer developments of society, economic life, and technological innovation proceed apace, what will guide them? What values and perspectives are needed in order to secure a bright and sustainable future for succeeding generations? This is not a technical question but one which, like so many others, requires reflection and the cultivation of an interior landscape as rich and varied as the outer. The work of the Center is, as I see it, the cultivation of that interior dimension so essential to our humanity.

The writer, poet, and professor Wendell Berry has written of higher education, “The thing being made in a university is humanity. What universities are mandated to make or to help to make is human beings in the fullest sense of those words—not just trained workers or knowledgeable citizens but responsible heirs and members of human culture.” In my view, few things can better contribute to the development of our human culture than the cultivation of attention, compassion, and mindful awareness through contemplative practice.

In the following pages, you will find an overview of the Center’s Academic Program’s current activities, which represents the heart of the Center’s work. Now that the promise of contemplative higher education appears to be greater than ever, what will be the role of the Center in realizing this promise?

I see the Center as providing its community of professors, university administrators and students three crucial functions in the coming years: a trustworthy resource, leadership, and community. This report can be read through the lens of these three functions, and as we look ahead these will continue to be important elements of our future work.

1. The Center is a trustworthy resource for contemplative materials and practices suited to all aspects of higher education from community colleges and liberal arts colleges to universities and professional schools.

2. The Center is a leader in the emerging field of Contemplative Pedagogy, developing practices, featuring leading practitioners, gathering research, and articulating the rationale for contemplative higher education.

3. The Center, through its Association for Contemplative Mind in Higher Education, provides the vehicle for the growing community of people interested in contemplative higher education to meet and share their work and questions with each other.
A Trustworthy Resource

Our successful annual conference, summer session, and retreat, as well as our webinar offerings and video highlights from our conferences and summer sessions are among the key resources we offer to educators. Through our extensive website and many publications—including syllabi, meeting reports, and a large bibliography—we bring together the fruits of thirteen years of experience in the field. Increasingly, we plan on taking full advantage of the potential offered to us by the reach of our two websites.

Leadership

As with any emerging field, leadership is required. Contemplative education is much more than mindfulness on the breath. There are ethical issues that need to be confronted, research that needs to be done and aggregated, a cogent rationale for its inclusion is necessary, content-related practices need to be developed and shared. If the full promise of contemplation for higher education is to be realized, the quality of our work must meet a very high standard. The Center provides a strong example of the growing edge in the field. We can identify those within our community who are developing the most important contributions and give them a platform. And in addition to featuring the excellent work of others, we will continue to take our own initiatives in selected areas that offer us important opportunities.

Community

Finally, I have come to appreciate the extraordinary importance of community to those academics, whether teachers or administrators, who are seeking to become active contemplative educators. Very often they feel alone in their institutions, or are uncertain as to how the contemplative can be integrated into their teaching. Again and again we hear how important the simple act of coming together is for those involved. I see the fostering of a contemplative education community as especially the function of the Association. This can take place not only through our national and regional conferences, but also through our professional networking software and other online methods. Once people meet, they begin to invite each other to their campuses, and the density of relationships grows in informal ways.

I hope that these few remarks will help to orient you toward the report that follows. Thank you for your continued involvement in the work of the Center. As you read through this overview of our work, please also consider ways that you might support the good work of the Center. We need new funding for the fellowship program, for our project with Israeli Schools of Education, for our diversity and contemplation initiative, and general support. Without you and your efforts on behalf of the Center, this remarkable work would not be possible.

With great gratitude,
Arthur Zajonc, Director
For the last thirteen years, the Academic Program of the Center for Contemplative Mind in Society has played a leading role in fostering the inclusion of contemplative practice and inquiry in college and university classrooms in North America and beyond. Few experiences are more formative in a person’s life than the years spent in college, university, and professional school. Not only does one train for a vocation, but one’s intellectual disposition, ethical orientation, character, and inner life are shaped in ways that endure for decades. Good judgment, creativity, compassionate action, social-emotional intelligence, and true insight depend on the ability for balanced reflection, sustained attention to complex and confusing situations, empathy for the suffering of others, and equanimity in the face of life’s challenges. In short, contemplation should become an integral part of higher education. The work of the Center for Contemplative Mind in Society, which has involved thousands of professors and university administrators, has initiated a change in the ethos of higher education in just this direction.

The Center’s work in higher education began in 1997 with the Contemplative Practice Fellowship Program, created in collaboration with the American Council of Learned Societies. As of 2009, over 150 Fellowships have been granted and the Center’s Academic Program now offers:

- Annual summer sessions on contemplative curriculum development
- Annual or bi-annual meditation retreats for professors and administrators
- Conferences at Columbia University and Amherst College, the last two emphasizing contributed papers from our community with 100–250 attending each conference
- The Association for Contemplative Mind in Higher Education, started in 2008, connects over 600 members and offers professional networking for academics interested in contemplative pedagogy
- A quarterly e-newsletter reaching over 2000 academic contacts
- Monthly webinars featuring leading contemplative educators and researchers
- Extensive on-line resources for academics at our two websites, contemplativemind.org and acmhe.org.

Left to right: Joseph Goldstein at the 2006 Summer Session; Contemplative Practice Fellows Daniel Barbezat, Al Kaszniak, and Renée Hill; Tom Bassarear leads a session at the 2010 ACMHE conference; Barry Kroll and Judith Simmer-Brown on a panel at the conference.
Core Principles of Contemplative Higher Education

Capacity-Building
Capacities such as a stable yet flexible attention and emotional balance are of value both in the classroom and throughout life. A wide range of contemplative exercises exist which specifically cultivate these skills. Mindfulness practices stabilize the mind by settling attention on a simple object or process, like the breath. Practices that promote emotional balance allow one to be empathetic yet sufficiently objective and able to cope with difficult life circumstances. Recent research on attention corroborates the in-class experience of thousands of professors who are now using contemplative exercises in their teaching.

Course-Specific Contemplative Practices
Educators are now developing contemplative practices that are highly relevant to their specific subject matter. For example, art historians are teaching ways of “beholding” art, informed by contemplative practice. Poetry reading can be informed by the ancient practice of *lectio divina*. Technical courses such as economics, chemistry and mathematics are also amenable to course-specific contemplative practices.

Cultivation of Empathy Supporting Compassionate Action
It is crucial that contemplative education not be misunderstood as aloof or disconnected from life. Indeed, contemplative exercises enhance empathy and compassionate connection to others. This goes on to shape ethical action. The third domain of contemplative pedagogy is therefore directed to the cultivation of compassion and altruistic behavior. Research on this has been undertaken recently by the Center for Compassion and Altruism Research and Education (CCARE) at Stanford University using an eight-session compassion training designed by Thubten Jinpa. Recent reports given at the Dalai Lama conference in October 2010 (which Arthur Zajonc moderated) were very encouraging.

Finally, the inclusion of contemplative methods in higher education will go a long way towards redressing an increasingly recognized imbalance, the result of which is that the inner life of our students is sorely neglected. In his book *Excellence without a Soul*, Harry Lewis, former dean of Harvard College, explains that “Harvard and our other great universities lost sight of the essential purpose of undergraduate education.” They have forgotten that they are there to help students “learn who they are, to search for a larger purpose for their lives, and to leave college as better human beings.”
The Association for Contemplative Mind in Higher Education (ACMHE)

* A Contemplative Higher Education Community *

The ACMHE (acmhe.org), founded in May 2008, promotes the emergence of a broad culture of contemplation in the academy by connecting a network of institutions and academics committed to the development of the contemplative dimension of teaching, learning and knowing.

The major goals of ACMHE over the next five years, as outlined in the October 2009 business plan draft include the following:

- Increase Visibility and Membership
- Identify and Develop Funding Partners
- Improve and Continue Delivery of Services

ACMHE Events are organized (or co-sponsored) to serve prospective and current members.

Western Regional ACMHE Conference
July 1-3, 2011, Whidbey Institute, Clinton, WA

A Regional Conference at Whidbey Institute in Clinton, Washington will serve 70 members of our West Coast network. Members from the region are especially invited; all are welcome. The weekend will begin with a keynote address on Friday by [Laura Rendon](#), Professor of Educational Leadership and Policy Studies at the University of Texas-San Antonio, and the author of *Sentipensante (Sensing/Thinking) Pedagogy: Educating for Wholeness, Social Justice and Liberation*. The program includes invited and contributing speakers, panel presentations, discussion circles and meditation and movement sessions. [Arthur Zajonc](#) will speak on “Contemplative Contributions to Integrative Education” on Saturday and [David Levy](#) will convene a panel on “Exploring Adaptive Challenges and Instrumental Action” Sunday morning.

We received 19 proposals for contributed sessions, including:

- “Classroom as Alchemical Container: Educator as Alchemist,” Heesoon Bai, et al, Simon Fraser University;
- “Revisiting the Requirements for Intersubjective Forms of Contemplative Praxis,” Olen Gunlaugeon, et al, University of Laval;
- “Writing With Water: A Practice Session,” Rita Wong, Emily Carr University of Art and Design.
Seventh Annual Summer Session on Contemplative Curriculum Development
August 7 – 12, 2011
Smith College, Northampton, MA

This annual gathering of educators at Smith College has become an established way to demonstrate the value for professors of working across disciplines to explore the role of contemplative practice in their courses. Participants will devote the week to investigation, reflection, writing, and discussion, guided by scholars and experienced contemplative teachers who have already developed such courses.

As in past years there will be sessions on pedagogical issues, including the relationship between course content and contemplative practice, the benefits of stabilized attention and other qualities of mind fostered by meditation, and practical issues such as evaluation, grading, and instructional techniques. Participants will also consider issues such as communicating course intent with colleagues and administration. There will be discussions on how contemplative practices in the curriculum are affecting teaching and learning nationwide. Each day will include contemplative practices from a variety of traditions that have been adapted successfully for secular classroom settings.

Faculty include:

Daniel Barbezat, Professor of Economics, Amherst College
Linda-Susan Beard, Associate Professor of English, Bryn Mawr College
Mirabai Bush, consultant to the Center for Contemplative Mind in Society
Sarah Lazar, Neuroscientist, Massachusetts General Hospital
Judith Simmer-Brown, Professor of Religious Studies, Naropa University
Joel Upton, Professor of Art and Art History, Amherst College
Patricia Wallace, Professor of English, Vassar College
Arthur Zajonc, Professor of Physics, Amherst College

The following report was prepared for the Sixth Annual Summer Session on Contemplative Curriculum Development held last year.

Sixth Annual Summer Session on Contemplative Curriculum Development
August 8 – 13, 2010
Smith College, Northampton, MA

By Beth Wadham

The sixth Summer Session was the largest yet, with over 40 higher education professionals from the US and Canada, Australia, Japan, Sweden and Saudi Arabia. They gathered at Smith College for a five-day exploration of how contemplative pedagogy can inform course development across disciplines and within specific fields. Many also came seeking integration, a way to make whole something that appears fragmented. In their quest to bring professional vocation and personal meaning into a closer relationship, and to bring a more holistic education to their students, they sought an expanded understanding of learning and teaching, and exposure to new methods.

A faculty of fellows and other leaders in contemplative education, who have been using contemplative methods for many years, gave presentations each morning. The afternoons were available for independent study, work in small groups, or in contemplative practice sessions with Arthur Zajonc and Mirabai Bush. The evening programs ranged from a presentation on research supporting contemplative methods to a Funk Buddha hip-hop performance.

Contemplative Pedagogy: Principles and Design

Arthur Zajonc led off the week with an overview of contemplative higher education in “Contemplative Pedagogy: Principles and Design.” He characterized the situation today as consisting of a number of solo courses
taught by over 150 contemplative practice fellows and others; a small but
growing number of collaborative faculty networks in a variety of institutions;
a handful of programs and concentrations; and a professional membership
organization: the Association for Contemplative Mind in Higher Education.

Educators are finding that contemplative practice cultivates the development of
their student’s inner resources in the following ways:

- Support students’ attention, openness, and emotional balance;
- Invite students into an experiential dimension of learning and
  promote greater engagement;
- Expand and deepen research to include contemplative inquiry and
  insight.

By way of example, Zajonc described “Eros and Insight,” a course he teaches
to first-year students at Amherst College in collaboration with his colleague
Joel Upton, a Professor of Art and Art History. In the first class, the theme of
silence is introduced, and students are invited, through silent practice and a
contemplation of the theme in poetry, to “awaken to their own genius.”

Zajonc reports that many in the class of 28 students are wondering, “What
kind of wakefulness is that?” But they, and Zajonc, are often surprised by what
arises out of their own creativity. He shows images of a Zen monastery, assigns
a Basho poem, and then, most centrally, asks them to “be still and silent” for
a period of time. Then, they write 150 words characterizing the experience.
The exercise has proven to be remarkably effective for many students. As they
cultivate single-pointed attention and disrupt habitual ways of seeing, they can
become fresh observers.

When introducing a practice element to his course exercises, Zajonc finds it
helpful to give the students some of the rationale for why it’s being asked or
assigned. He allows for their questions, and then gives clear instructions. After
an exercise they are offered opportunities to process the experience through
journal writing or sharing in the classroom.

One practice he introduced uses a bell sound. The exercise has four parts.
First, students sustain attention to the sound of a bell, as it takes shape and
pulses and then recedes into silence. Then they are prompted to “sound the
bell inwardly,” summoning a memory or afterimage of the sound. After this,
the instructions call for letting go of the sound memory, allowing what Zajonc
calls “open attention.” Finally, he asks them to extend this openness to allow for
“letting come,” or for something to arise out of the silence.

While he finds students at Amherst know a lot about concentration and
focused attention, the idea of open attention is usually unfamiliar. They
wonder—Is it lack of attention? Sleeping? At first, it may feel like no
attention at all, and there can be some discomfort with the void. But with
practice, a meditative state can be cultivated, one that has a luminous quality
of consciousness and presence, at the threshold of sleep. From this stillness,
Zajonc suggests, subtle thoughts, “alighting like butterflies,” images, and
feelings can arise.

As the students talk together afterward, sharing the results of “investigating
their own minds,” they become more attentive how the mind behaves. As they
develop tools of self observation, they are able to report on their own mental
phenomena—how their minds are distracted, then reigned in, then wander
again—with greater precision. Knowing one’s own mind is revealed as a whole
research topic of its own.

An introduction to self-awareness can be a foundation for the development
of more sophisticated “contemplative inquiry” methods. As Zajonc observes,
everyone in the academy is involved in some kind of inquiry, from research in
laboratories to other kinds of explorations, and there are many different types
of methods. While logical inference and deduction are crucial, he considers
them insufficient for particular types of discovery and creation. One can’t
leap to a general statement, for example, and hypotheses can’t be induced or
deduced, but moments of insight and wonder can catalyze fruitful questions.
To demonstrate how the exploration of the bell sound can be the basis for a contemplative inquiry method, Zajonc invited participants to take an issue or question from their own vocational or personal lives and engage in a process. The first step is to describe the issue from the outside—characterizing its objective quality or “outer phenomenology,” and write or represent the issue using some notation. Then, describe the issue from an inside, or subjective perspective. There may be emotional and ethical content, and these can be explored imaginatively and meditatively. Again, some form of representation can be used to express this perspective.

After bringing attention to both kinds of data, Zajonc suggests moving into a state of “letting go” or open awareness, to be followed by “letting come.” When thoughts, images or other material presents itself during these mental states, it can have the quality of insight or comprehension at a deeper level.

These different modes of inquiry have been described by Howard Gardner as making use of multiple intelligences, and by James Hillman, in Archetypal Psychology, as research and “insearch.” The process of descending into the issue, Zajonc finds, can reveal new aspects to the question, can invite a new understanding and expression of it, and opens the way towards a careful, synthesizing, creative response.

**Architecti et usus Meditatio:**
**Architecture and the use of meditation**

Peter Schneider, Professor of Architecture and Chancellor’s Scholar, College of Architecture and Planning at the University of Colorado Denver, is a pioneer in contemplative education. In 1997 he received a Contemplative Practice Fellowship for developing the course, “Found Spaces: mindful practice in architectural design,” and he has continued to explore the influence of *contemplatio* and *meditatio*—both terms rooted in architecture’s ancient history—on the shapes and forms of contemporary architectural practice.

The ten scrolls of Vitruvius, surviving from 26 BCE, are the classical texts for the study of architecture. They set the ground for the use of meditation, describing two kinds of knowledge: “knowing how” and “knowing that.” Knowing how can be understood as doing the practice and knowing that is considered an explanation of the way it’s done. Schneider finds the fundamental act of architecture to be “paying attention to doing what you are doing while you are doing it,” an act which requires continuous reflection.

Building is an activity by which we make shelter, make marks on the world, as he relates, but places already exist. As Louis Khan, the most mystical of architects, whose writings about architecture often read like Zen koans, observes, “an arch has an existence but no presence.” In a similar way, Schneider emphasizes that an architect’s respect for the underlying individuality of things will affect design and the way people experience space. His students, before beginning their design exercises, are well served by asking big questions: What is a shelter? What is a home? All structures have both an interior and an exterior, and he invites students to explore, imaginatively, both the interior experience and external appearance of their inhabited spaces.

As an example, he describes an exercise that activates the early memories his students have of building shelters or forts. This is a cross-cultural phenomenon, as nearly all children engage in the practice of creating these for themselves and those close to them. When prompted, we can recollect those places, and Schneider asks students to list five terms to characterize what they constructed. When participants share their lists, many similarities can be observed. As children in “rooms of our own,” we often feel hidden, safe, protected, and comfortable. There may also be common design elements, such as a secret entrance or threshold.

Students, Schneider recognizes, are not blank slates. We all have elaborate “environmental biographies” and learning how to recover those memories has a role in creating architecture that is responsive to human needs. Schneider is interested in sensitizing his student’s perception of architectural space, and has
been surprised at the results of these kinds of exercises. Given the opportunity, most students find they can skillfully apply their mindful attention to the past and meaningfully recollect the places they remember. He also finds that their writing about these experiences consistently has been, over the years he’s been working with these methods, of very high quality.

In a design studio class, for an assignment to plan a cell for a monk, the students need to understand the rituals involved, and learn from the people they’re designing for. The assignment includes engaging in a sitting practice of their own, paying attention to what’s going on around them. He shows a film clip of a Buddhist monk, walking very slowly, and asks the students to adopt a similar practice, walking contemplatively and paying attention to sights and sounds. After recollecting their experience through writing after each activity, they are prompted to reflect on their experience of the architectural elements, like stairways and doorways, and include both physiological and psychological impressions.

The resulting designs can be great events in their minds. They find ways to consider the experience of the people who will inhabit their creations as part of the design criteria. To design a school, for example, Schneider finds it important to go back in time, asking, “What is a school? What is teaching? What is learning?” Although students, especially first year, tend to want answers, Schneider is committed to giving them opportunities and information that provoke the kind of questions that can lead to their own discoveries.

Teaching with contemplative practice, she searches for meaningful ways to get her students to think carefully. She asks them to consider, “What makes me what I am?” and “What makes up my identity?”

It wasn’t always this way. Although Hill has been meditating for 30 years, during her first years of teaching she didn’t “stray far from the can,” and taught philosophy in a traditional way, “bludgeoning students with arguments and counter-arguments.” She still wants to challenge their perceptions and “press against the things they think they know for sure,” but she now she begins with wonder. Asking questions like, “What is true?” and “What is real?” leads to the problem about other minds (we never know if we’re seeing the same color) and introduces the idea of radical skepticism more effectively than analytical arguments.

Teaching with contemplative practice, she searches for meaningful ways to get her students to think carefully. She asks them to consider, “What makes me what I am?” and “What makes up my identity?” as questions to hold lightly. She shared with participants an exercise she uses to explore identity with her students in which they select, from array of images from art, design, the natural and human world, one that they are drawn to as an expression of themselves. Then she structures a listening exercise between partners to share the reasons why—including the emotional content and the values expressed.

Philosophy: The Art of Wondering

Renée Hill, Associate Professor of Philosophy and History, has been involved in bringing contemplative practice to a historically black college, Virginia State University, since 1999, when she developed the course “The Path of Inner Experience” as part of her Contemplative Practice Fellowship. On Wednesday morning during the Summer Session, she settled herself cross-legged on top of the large oak table at the front of the Browsing Room in Neilson Library and invited her listeners to participate in a relaxation exercise. It’s the kind of thing she does with her students in “Introduction to Philosophy,” which she characterizes as a “stroll, with one another, through the content of the course.” The exercise is part of a welcoming ritual that invites students into the space, acknowledges their presence (names are called out and she asks how they’re doing), and offers them some quiet, peace, and focus before they address the topics on the syllabus.
Most of the students in Hill’s classes are taking the first and only philosophy class in their lives. They’re frequently the first generation in their families to attend college. They’re worried about jobs and other practical matters and they rarely have access to conditions allowing for quiet contemplation. In the 15 weeks she has with them, Hill has to determine: What does she want to share? Most of all, she’d like them to slow down and experience wonder.

Her practice for teaching consists of first determining the essence, kernel or take away for the students. And then she is reminded to remember—even that is not that serious. She doesn’t believe the content is the most important thing, and she is conscious that what she models for the students is what they will most remember. She asks herself whether she can teach out of her own sense of wonder.

Apertures of Awe

Joel Upton, Professor of Art and Art History at Amherst College, offered a description of his seminar “The Art of Beholding,” not as a prescription for an art history course, but to explore how others might bring “ beholding” into their own disciplines. He recognizes that his goal, to encourage students to become beholders, suggests that they are not yet qualified to directly behold art. The process is one of beginning to behold, and involves beholding themselves as they grow toward it.

He promised to outline the six deliberate and defined steps toward a palpable sensation of awe, an awakening that starts with doubt and confusion, and by necessity cannot take any longer than 16 weeks, but first he told his listeners a story.

Many years ago, in Acadia National Park on Mount Desert Isle, on a perfectly clear and sunny day, Upton and his two young daughters began a climb up Cadillac Mountain for a 360 degree view of the landscape below. Along the way, they followed the cairns that were placed there to guide hikers. When the weather changed dramatically, and a storm blew up, the girls put on their yellow raincoats, and Upton changed the plan from an ascent to a return. But somehow, reversing direction, they were unable to find the cairns along the way down the mountain. Although the girls’ spirits remained bright, and getting lost seemed to them to be just another game, their father became stricken with “major mortal awareness.” And, during a subsequent moment of insight, he also realized just what had to be done. If a descent wasn’t possible without going terribly astray, they would have to continue to climb, through wind and rain, and only by reaching the top would they be able to find the pathway down.

The memory of the day passed into history, until Upton was asked to do this talk for the Summer Session. He considered the academic predicament of an “endless forest” of information: myriad sources subdividing and metastasizing as we try to penetrate the thicket. One can become truly lost on that mountain, he observed, and it might not be enough just to “add moments of contemplation while heading down.” Instead, Upton suggests we need to turn around, whatever the conditions may be, look up, and “behold” what is before us through an “aperture of awe.”

Step one in this process for his students in Art History is to identify one painting to “behold with.” According to Upton’s concept, this means to “engage the work’s human realization according to a unique and shared embodiment—rather than merely or exclusively to observe, analyze or situate it culturally and historically.” Although he allows for a semester-long encounter with one work of art, the beholding relationship is one he hopes his students will sustain for the rest of their lives.

Step two is a “superficial” gathering of information about the work, consisting of when, where and by whom it was painted, its size and basic composition.

Step three consists of an analysis of form, line, shape and color, giving the subject full attention.
Step four is an investigation of the content, or iconography, of what is represented. Students often find there are almost infinite possibilities here.

Step five asks students to identify the contradictions that comprise the work, for example the tensions between horizontal and vertical aspects, as well as the deeper conflicting elements, or “paradoxes of human being” that give rise to its composition.

Step six is an invitation to contemplative beholding, in which students seek out, by way of contemplation, “intimations of the reconciliation of contradictory reality,” engaging in contemplative exercises alternating focused attention and open awareness.

As they move toward the “threshold” of contemplative beholding, what began as a relationship between a subject (the observer) and the object (the painting) becomes closer to a subject to subject, or delicate, “I and Thou” encounter. The tensions they’ve identified in the work of art are not considered inconsistencies to dispel, or contradictions to resolve, but opportunities to break free from instrumental modes of thinking toward a dynamic artistic encounter that continues to generate new ideas.

What Can Literature Mean after Bergen Belsen, Hiroshima, Biafra, Darfur, and Kabul?

Linda-Susan Beard, Professor of English at Bryn Mawr, who led this presentation and discussion, is all too aware that no one is going to have a good time in her course, which triangulates the literature of the Holocaust, Apartheid and Rwanda. She asks herself why she has taken on the task, and she says she sometimes feels like the Ancient Mariner with his commitment to talk for the ghosts who can no longer speak for themselves.

Her courses, which also include African American Literature and African American History, have been called “suffering courses.” In them, she wants her students to experience the stories of both victims (not objects) and perpetrators (still human), and see past the opacity and false masks that have defined them. She wants them to move “beyond comparative atrocities,” in which a confinement of 6 years is measured against one decades-long, and hear the weeping specific to each. She wants them to grow in vision and insight.

The works they read are acts of documentation and storytelling. In encountering them, she asks her students to try to “listen to the burning bush without burning to death,” and find their place as members of an interconnected and interdependent community that includes those who have heard, for example, the sounds of their neighbors burning. The syllabi are comprised of award winning books: Atonement, by Ian McEwen (2001); Half a Yellow Sun, by Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie (2007); Literature or Life, by Jorge Semprun (1998); and A Thousand Splendid Suns, by Khaled Hosseini (2007). The books generate questions for discussion and writing that ask students to be deeply contemplative and compassionate.

She finds that these books need to be read with “a faithfulness to a disciplined quiet” and offers contemplative “respite rituals” that can be integrated into longer classes or field trips, such as taking a walk, sketching, or gazing at the beauty of the natural world. To see and to look are sometimes joyful, sometimes painful experiences, and she doesn't want students to feel overwhelmed.

Sometimes students can’t finish the assigned reading, and occasionally, during class discussion, she’ll suggest, “Let’s be still,” offering silence as a possible response to things which are beyond words. But ultimately she presses them, through her example and steady attention, to summon the courage that is involved in speaking about the unspeakable and attempting to name the unnamable atrocities that human beings have perpetrated upon one another. She encourages them to look again, and attempt to rehumanize images “from underneath.”

Toward the close of the week-long session there were still many questions and themes that had been touched on but not addressed, and on the last day an open space session allowed for self-organization around topics, to be
“hosted” by participants. Each host described and represented his or her interest and groups self-selected on topics such as evaluation and assessment; research models (what kind of evidence and what can and can’t be measured when considering contemplative experience?); and how to address resistance to contemplative practices by students and colleagues. At the closing circle, many educators found themselves more able to “behold, breathe, stay grounded and pay attention,” and more ready to “lead their students on inward journeys.” Arthur Zajonc suggested that “this is really a faculty meeting for the future,” and left us with an image of what a blessing that would be, for the students and ourselves.
Third Annual Association for Contemplative Mind in Higher Education Conference:
The Contemplative Campus
November 11-13, 2011
Amherst College, Amherst, MA

The conference will focus on contemplative approaches to teaching, learning and knowing taking place across the campus. Contributed papers, a poster session, and artistic presentations as well as plenary speakers, panels and practice sessions will explore the ways that contemplative practices serve higher education. The due date for contributed sessions is July 1, 2011.

The following report was prepared for last year’s Second Annual Association for Contemplative Mind in Higher Education Conference:
The Contemplative Academy.

The Contemplative Academy:
The 2010 ACMHE Conference at Amherst College
By Carrie Bergman, Program Associate

The Contemplative Academy, the second conference of the Association for Contemplative Mind in Higher Education (ACMHE), filled Amherst College’s Converse Hall for the weekend of September 24-26, 2010. 130 educators from the US, Canada, Mexico, England, Italy and Dubai attended two and a half days of lectures and presentations exploring the integration of contemplative approaches in higher education. This year’s conference offered 68 presentations as well as poster sessions and plenary and keynote addresses, an increase over the previous year and one more indicator of how the contemplative education movement continues to grow.

In the conference’s opening address, Arthur Zajonc, Professor of Physics at Amherst College and Director of the Center for Contemplative Mind in Society and the ACMHE, recalled a conversation with Jerome Murphy, a longtime Dean of the School of Education at Harvard. Murphy stated that his experience with contemplative education began when a TA—a longtime meditator—had, with his permission, introduced contemplation into their leadership seminar with an intriguing result. In previous years, Murphy’s students had always complimented the course, finding it very stimulating and well-presented; but once meditation practice was incorporated, the feedback shifted. Now the students were telling him, “This course has changed my life.”

But what exactly had contemplative practice contributed to their experience?

As Zajonc observed, a contemplative approach to education integrates intellectual enterprises with students’ daily lives. It is a form of experiential learning: we find new ways of discovering the “answers” traditionally sought through study and research, and
our lives become a response to the questions that we carry with us. Contemplative inquiry is an invitation to growth, change, and transformation. But what ongoing responsibility do educators and institutions have to the students they encourage to undertake a serious contemplative practice? And how can we more clearly articulate contemplative modes of inquiry and the benefits of such methods?

Conference participants expressed that the field of contemplative pedagogy is now ready to be clearly articulated in order to achieve greater legitimacy, as many have faced skeptical or antagonistic colleagues and administrators who question the inclusion of contemplative methods in the classroom on the grounds that they are not sufficiently rigorous—a perception that, it was clear at this conference, requires correction. The relationship of traditional academic approaches to inquiry to the more indirect, exploratory methods of contemplative practice was a recurring theme of discussion. Stephen Prothero, Professor of Religion at Boston University, used the metaphor of wandering in his keynote address, “The Art of Doing Nothing: Wandering as Contemplative Practice.” Wandering, he noted, is an expression of openness and receptivity: a willingness to respond to unexpected circumstances and spontaneous changes. Although it plays a valuable role in many of the world’s great religious and philosophical traditions (perhaps a founding teacher or mystic acquired wisdom while wandering through the wilderness) it also holds many negative connotations; in many Western stories, it is the result of a punishment. “There is a hint of malfeasance in wandering…settled folk have often considered unsettled folk dangerous,” Prothero noted. He hopes to rescue wandering from these negative associations; studies show that brain activity increases after walking, and that scientific insight is more likely to occur after the mind reaches an impasse and a scientist goes out for a stroll. But Prothero praises wandering not only for its potential usefulness in achieving desired goals, but for its very existence as directionless exploration, a form of playful contemplative practice: “wandering as an antidote to our purpose-driven culture.” It can “liberate us from the tyranny of voices of authority who tell us who to be, how to think, and how to live.” As a suggestion to how this might apply to scholarship and research, Prothero suggested sometimes allowing the mind to wander between topics without first worrying about the connections between them.

The value of exploration, openness and meandering in experiencing and articulating the contemplative was echoed in parallel sessions such as “Wondering and Wandering: Getting Lost as a Narrative Encounter with Contemplative Knowing” by Linda-Susan Beard, Professor of English at Bryn Mawr College; “The Contemplative Moment: An Artistic Presentation” by Cynthia Huntington, Professor of English at Dartmouth College; and by Patricia Wallace, Professor of English at Vassar College, in her presentation
“On Lightness.” Wallace identified several forms of contemplative knowing (wonder, intimation and intuition) and asked: how can we cultivate these in our classrooms? She suggests that we promote a “lightness of thoughtfulness” in our students: a playful and exploratory attitude, an open responsiveness to the world, a state of receptivity necessary for “contemplative knowing.” This mindfulness allows us to overcome creative blocks and obstacles to understanding, overcoming the heavy, opaque mindset that comes from resisting the present moment. Wallace related the story of Perseus, able to defeat Medusa (who could turn people to stone with only a glance) while looking at her reflection in his shield. In this allegory, Perseus, with his winged sandals, represents lightness and ingenuity, and Medusa is the heavy obstacle to learning and discovery who cannot be overcome with a direct approach. The indirect approach, despite its apparent illogic or inefficiency, achieves the victory. In this way, contemplative practices, though they may seem circuitous, even irrational, help us contend with difficult information and circumstances.

In the Saturday morning plenary presentation, Amishi Jha, director of the Jha Lab at the University of Miami, noted that research on the effects of contemplative practice provides a more conventional rationale for the integration of contemplative practices in the classroom. Jha described several studies designed to test various faculties of attention and working memory. Results indicate that meditative practices help students integrate information; promote personal wellness and balance; develop improved attention and retention; and expand their understanding of the information taught in their courses. The studies associated improved test performance with mindfulness training, but also suggested that the effect is more pronounced and sustained with additional practice.

But is there a way to quantify practice or tell how much is “enough”? In many classrooms which are integrating contemplative practices, students may sit silently for a few minutes each class period, or spend time in private practice outside of class. Could there be any lasting effects from these short exposures, or should educators expect to plan retreats and extended practice sessions in order to see results? Jha mentioned a study on Marines, who showed improvement after just 12 minutes of practice per week. Though, she reiterated, more experience with practice does seem to equal more benefit. But the question remains: would a contemplative academy, encompassing institutional changes beyond the scope of an individual’s personal contemplative practice, actually promote more effective learning?

A plenary panel on Sunday morning, “Contemplative Pedagogy and the Academic Disciplines: Value Added or Changes Everything?” continued the conversation on the relationship between academic and contemplative modes of inquiry. Do contemplative approaches simply add value to courses by enhancing learning and creativity, or do they transform the academic disciplines themselves? Panelists discussed their personal paths in contemplative pedagogy and their current “edge issues.” Susan Burggraf, a developmental psychologist, Associate Dean of Undergraduate Education and Associate Professor of Contemplative Psychology at Naropa University, borrowed the language of child development to ask, “Is it parallel play or cooperative play? Can they share their toys?” Can contemplative methods integrate with the academic disciplines and support their modes of discovery? She is now exploring how a self-awareness introspection condition could be added to the standard psychological test design.

Barry Kroll, Rodale Professor in Writing and Chair of English at Lehigh University, has developed a course called “Arguing Differently,” which includes challenges to traditional argument models. It has been very successful; the contemplative component has enabled students to understand that “strategies for resolving conflict are really about conflict within.” Kroll’s students understand that techniques for handling external adversaries can also work for their own internal issues. Yet Kroll is wrestling with a deep responsibility to
clearly and succinctly explain to administrators, colleagues, students and their parents exactly why contemplative learning is relevant to conflict resolution now that contemplative practice feels instrumental to his course. “On the other hand,” he says, “there’s a tension between the necessity of instrumentalizing this approach, to say ‘it’s good because it helps me do this other thing,’ and another impulse I have to say, it’s really good in itself. It doesn’t ultimately need that instrumental argument.”

He also feels a responsibility to his students after they leave his classroom. What happens to a student’s personal development after an intense and personal course such as this one concludes? “Do I have any responsibility after opening this box?” Kroll is taking seriously the idea that he does have a responsibility to keep in touch with his students more, in person and via email, is organizing events and reunions incorporating contemplative practices, and is considering ways for them to stay connected online. Similarly, Judith Simmer-Brown, Professor of Religious Studies at Naropa University, asked,

Am I prepared to be the kind of educator who can really hold the situation properly for my students? When we do this kind of work, we are getting much more than we bargained for as professors. Are we prepared to be there for our students? Are our universities prepared… to provide support and mentorship in an ongoing way? And are we prepared to meet them where they are, rather than where we want them to be?

Tom Coburn, Visiting Scholar at Brown University and President Emeritus of Naropa University, remarked that any subject can be taught contemplatively or non-contemplatively. Therefore, the contemplative component of any course is a function of the teacher, not the subject matter, and to bring contemplative practice into the classroom successfully, the teacher must have a committed personal practice. An additional challenge is that we have inherited an assumption of contemplation and meditation as private, solitary, reclusive activities. But this is just one end of the spectrum; at the other end, we find activists such as Martin Luther King, Thich Nhat Hanh and Dorothy Day. “My sense,” says Coburn,

is what we are about is redeeming the concept of what meditation and contemplation mean, from the idiosyncrasies that have led the modern West to think of meditation as a private activity. There are implications here for the way we conduct our contemplative educating. Self-knowledge certainly comes from sitting on the cushion, but it also comes from engaging others…I’m pressing the case here for reclaiming the activist end of the contemplative spectrum.

To conclude the conference, Ed Sarath (University of Michigan) and Patricia Wallace (Vassar College) led a session of mindfulness, poetry and improvised music, moving the audience through thoughts and emotions with a beautiful variety of word and sound. Remarking on the performance, Arthur Zajonc observed,

It seems to me a form of engagement that brings these kinds of experiences to us, so vividly and fully, that we come to know them in a way that we otherwise would have missed. In this direct and immediate sense, I feel that the contemplative is a very deep and profound way of knowing. We can argue intellectually for different worldviews, but when you live inside a contemplative experience fully, it’s not a question of argumentation, it’s a question of lived experience, and that has its own reality. Your worldview grows of its own accord. It’s a kind of epistemological leverage point where your whole idea of yourself, in your discipline, in your family, with your colleagues, the world in which we inhabit, begins to shift and grow.
Retreat for Academics
November 17 – 20, 2011
Garrison Institute, Garrison, NY
Arthur Zajonc and Mirabai Bush will lead this year’s retreat at Garrison. It is designed for higher education professionals, offering introductions to a variety of contemplative practices, including contemplative methods adapted for the classroom.

Fall Retreat for Academics
November 11 – 14, 2010, Garrison Institute, Garrison NY
by Beth Wadham, Academic Associate

For its fifth retreat for educators, the Center offered three days of practice and exploration at the Garrison Institute, a setting particularly well designed for contemplation. The Capuchin Franciscan monastery was restored and renovated in 2002 to provide retreat facilities for traditional (Buddhist, Christian) and secular groups. The group of 46 professors that gathered for the weekend hailed from the US, Canada, UK, Japan and Australia, and represented a wide range of experience with contemplative practice, personally and in their classrooms. At opening circle they all expressed openness to sharing the reflective space and practicing together.

Over the days that followed, Arthur Zajonc and Mirabai Bush offered introductions to mindfulness-based practices and contemplative inquiry techniques that can be used in classroom settings. Mirabai emphasized at the first session that what is most important is that educators themselves practice, to focus their own awareness and develop their own responsiveness, capacities that are critical for teaching and learning. While examples of what others have done are helpful in exploring possibilities, practice brings an awareness that applies to everything we are called upon to do in our lives and is part of way of living and being that is relaxed and alert.

On the first morning the group explored sitting and stilling practices alternating with mindful walking. The winding pathways overlooking the Hudson River offered a particularly welcome setting in which to practice this tradition, drawn from Asian and Western monasticism, in which one becomes conscious of all the sensations that arise through the simple movements. At the morning’s end, the group prepared for and entered a time of silent practice, which they would share through the next 30 hours. Silent practice also derives from monastic traditions and, in secular application, has value for quieting the mental activity that goes along with conversation, allowing greater access to inner perception. It is a challenging practice, however, especially during activities such as meals, when sociability is often in the foreground. The thoughts and feelings that arise during the time one is dedicated to silence are an opportunity to notice what’s going on outside and inside and to cultivate a sharper awareness of the whole environment.
In the afternoon, Arthur Zajonc introduced exercises that extend contemplative awareness to include contemplative inquiry. The four-stage process, which alternates between focused and open attention, explores how ways of knowing can be extended beyond the discursive, logical inference of ratiocination to include introspective methods that lead to new discoveries and insight. Throughout the period of silent practice, Arthur and Mirabai responded to written questions submitted by the participants. They addressed questions about the ethical foundations of practice, how to create a personal practice, and why mindfulness takes so much effort.

By the second day of silence, the sitting meditation sessions had become very still. The contemplative exercises that Mirabai and Arthur guided felt more refined, and participants took up the opportunities to look inward and to explore nature with greater focus and engagement. When the time came to break the silence in the afternoon, Mirabai suggested that “silence is the beginning of mindful speaking.” Practice with silence develops the ability to ask oneself, before speaking, “Is this helpful? Is this true?” One may find one doesn’t need to say so much. As a way back into the speaking world, participants first wrote for five minutes, finishing the prompt, “During the time spent in silence, I learned that…,” before turning to a partner to engage in mindful listening practice. The speaker spoke for five minutes as the listener listened without interruption and then related back to the speaker what he or she heard.

The final evening of the retreat was given to conversation, as the educators spoke in small groups about the relationship of contemplative practice to teaching, learning and knowing. Sharing their experience and questions and offering their ideas to help one another, the participants found many points of convergence and recognition. These supportive and stimulating conversations, many of which continued during breakfast on the final morning, are a wonderful feature of these contemplative gatherings. Somehow, it always seems that just the right people have come.

At the closing circle, many participants shared how they were able to find very particular personal and professional value in their retreat experience.
Webinar Series

The ACMHE Webinar series provides monthly online seminars, drawing between 60 and over 100 participants (members and others on our academic contact list). The current series began in October 2010 and will run through September 2011:

- **David Haskell**, Professor of Biology, University of the South presented “Contemplative Pedagogy and Deep Listening” on October 27, 2010.
- **Peter Schneider**, Professor of Architecture, University of Colorado, Denver presented “Architecture and the Use of Meditation: Architecti et usus meditatio” on December 1, 2010.
- **John Makransky**, Associate Professor of Buddhism and Comparative Theology, Boston College presented “Contemplative Activism: Meditations Adapted From Tibet to Empower Service and Action” on February 23, 2011.
- **David Zlotnick**, Professor of Law, Roger Williams University School of Law presented “Integrating Trial Advocacy and Mindfulness Theory & Practice” on May 25, 2011.
- **Jeremy Hunter**, Professor, Peter F. Drucker Graduate School of Management, Claremont Graduate School will present “Developing the Executive Mind: Teaching Managers to Manage Themselves” on September 28, 2011.

Faculty for webinar presentations are drawn from the Contemplative Practice Fellows and other leaders in contemplative higher education.

An archive of past webinars us available at acmhe.org/pastwebinars. Those who were unable to attend the live presentations can view recordings in their entirety on this page. Our archived webinars have been watched over 2000 times.
Meditation for Academic Excellence—and Beyond
February 11-12, 2011, Middlebury College, Middlebury, VT

Arthur Zajonc visited Middlebury College to speak with a group of about 70 students and faculty about the interior dimensions of education, and the benefits of cultivating a healthy, balanced emotional life and a “contemplative mind.”

The following day, Arthur led a workshop for 25-30 faculty and staff as part of a series sponsored by their Center for Teaching and Learning. Workshop participants expressed their interests in integrating practice to support time management and stress reduction; develop attention and empathy; and to help students “sit without understanding” when confronted with complexity and contradictions. Arthur responded with examples and exercises from his courses, and led a practice to demonstrate how to engage students deeply with the course material, using their own experience as a source for understanding.

Following the workshop, participants remarked that it helped them “to solidify and identify a group of people for further study,” “to be more effective in articulating these methods and key concepts to students and colleagues,” and that it “reminded them of the importance of presence.”

2011 Mindfulness in Education Conference:
Mindfulness: A Foundation for Teaching and Learning
March 18-19, 2011, American University, Washington, DC

The ACMHE was a co-sponsor of this conference which included a keynote address by Susan Kaiser Greenland, founder of Inner Kids and author of The Mindful Child, who presented a range of techniques for developing the mindful awareness of children in K-12 settings. Plenary Panel members were Bradford Grant, Professor and Director of the School of Architecture and Design and Associate Dean of the College of Engineering, Architecture and Computer Science at Howard University; Wynne Kinder, of Kinder Associates; and Diane Reibel, Director of the Mindfulness Institute at the Jefferson-Myrna Brind Center of Integrative Medicine. Over 140 teachers, counselors and other educational professionals attended. Beth Wadham shared information about the Center’s work at two breakout sessions for college and university educators on Saturday afternoon.
Amherst College and The Center for Contemplative Mind in Society hosted this series of presentations featuring professors across many disciplines—Physics, Economics, English, Environmental Science, Chemistry, Law, Religious Studies, Music and Psychology—to explore the relevance of a contemplative approach to each and all. The presentations were intended to open conversation between the speakers across disciplines, and consider what commonalities could be found within their differences.

The presentations and conversations, held in the Red Room at Converse Hall, were open to the public. Several sessions offered panels with open discussion.

Patricia Wallace, Professor of English at Vassar College, led off the weekend with an investigation of “lightness,” in verse. Drawing from lines from Ovid, Italo Calvino and Robert Frost’s “Star in a Stone Boat,” Wallace displayed how, as a counterforce to weight, lightness can show up as agility and flexibility in leaping lines of poetry. And as illumination, lightness can reveal a way through the opacity of the world, as when Mary Oliver’s Egrets

“opened their wings
softly and stepped
over every dark thing.”

Wallace invites her listeners, and her students, to adopt an attitude of “patient and loving regard” when reading poetry, and finds that their quality of attention is often rewarded with unexpected perceptions and “apprehensions” of reality.

Ed Sarath, Professor of Music and Director of the Program in Creativity and Consciousness Studies at the University of Michigan, has been bringing “A Tale of Two Epistemologies” to the academy for the past ten years, developing jazz improvisation and contemplative ways of knowing within an environment that often appears to have what he calls an “epistemological auto-immune disorder.” As he relates it, similar questions arise for both: Can you take jazz out of the “university of the street?” Can one abstract contemplative practice from its spiritual roots?

He hasn’t resolved these questions, but continues to manage the tensions by strengthening the firm grounding of his own anchor—a sitting practice—that allows for lightness of movement, and posing big questions. Sarath’s passion and idealism is activated by considering, “What is a human being?” and “What is the purpose of education?” He’s interested in developing his students’ creativity as an integrated state of consciousness that rewards them not just with artistic success, but as a means for making their unique contribution to the world. He finds that the methodologies of both jazz and contemplative practice serve these expansive goals.

Al Kaszniak, Professor and Head of Psychology at the University of Arizona, investigated the longstanding question of whether compassion is natural or not in his course on “Psychology of Empathy and Compassion: Contemplative and Scientific Perspectives.” The question can now be informed by recent neuroscience research on “mirror neurons” and the brain effects of meditation on the response to the suffering of others (amplified). The course made use of journaling, mindfulness, council, metta, “dyadic nonjudgmental listening,” and self- vs.-other-focused perspective taking and ended with a contemplative garden gathering. Kaszniak loved teaching in this way, and both he and the students found the course logic rewarding, as it offered the opportunity for “being that which one is speaking,” to quote Gregory Bateson’s concept of “metalogue.”

Daniel Barbezat, Professor of Economics at Amherst College, is interested in how to “engage students as human beings, as teachers.” While they will be
evaluated, and graded, he recognizes that students have “sovereignty over their own experience” and he looks for ways for them to engage introspectively with the course content so they can relate the theories and models presented in economics to their own lives.

One example from his field is the how the idea of “utility,” or satisfaction, as determined by the interaction between wants and supply, is based on subjective measures of well-being. To investigate, Barbezat brings in Tibor Scitovsky’s *The Joyless Economy*, and asks whether our level of comfort (as members of the Amherst College community, anyway) makes our lives less fulfilling. We may cling to comfort levels because they’re habitual even when they don’t optimize our pleasure, and we may need to navigate some discomfort to move toward optimal states.

To test this, Barbezat designs some discomfort into his class. He asks students to leave the familiar person with whom they sat to sit by an unfamiliar person and have a short introductory conversation. Then he asks them to notice: are you as well, better or worse off than when you were sitting with your friend? Nearly every student was as well, and many reported being better, than before.

This kind of exercise may not appear on the surface as a contemplative in the way attending to breathing or a bell sound does, but as an invitation to greater self awareness it reveals to students the complexity of their wanting. They see, to their own perturbation, how they might “overchoose” comfort, even as they return to sit with their friends the next time class begins.

**Judith Simmer-Brown**, chair of the Religious Studies department at Naropa University, looked at the relationship between contemplative education and traditional academic skills, specifically writing. While Naropa is not a traditional academy, neither is it a dharma center, and she finds that students are often not as engaged with their academic writing as they are with developing their inner wisdom. Their writing, typically in the third-person, passionless style, has not yet found a voice.

To address this, Simmer-Brown has developed a way of mindful reading: a sequence of reading, speaking, and sharing, interspersed with sitting, that concludes with writing “the meaning for them at that moment,” resulting in a fresh take. To make appropriate use of personal material, students begin by making distinctions, asking, “How does it feel?” from multiple perspectives: physically, as sensation; emotionally, as mood and feeling; and cognitively, as thought. As students navigate from thought to senses they learn to attend to what is happening moment by moment, and can go beyond habitual thinking that runs a story line in the past (reruns) or future (planning). She finds these techniques bring student’s own voices forward, so they inhabit their writing, and bring greater personal integration to the topics they write about.

**Harold Roth**, Professor of Religious Studies and East Asian Studies and the Director of the Contemplative Studies Initiative at Brown University is now teaching the 10th version of Introduction to Contemplative Studies, which focuses on ways human beings have found over time to increase conscious awareness. He considers contemplative states on a spectrum that extends from ordinary to mystical experience.

He uses a combination of third-person study of texts and what he calls a “critical first-person approach,” in which students engage directly with the practices they’re investigating, and appraise their experience. They try out specific meditation techniques in “meditation labs” that meet 3 times a week and process the results through journal entries that monitor their development. When students are asked to apply the ideas they are exploring to their own experience, he finds that a new dimension emerges that yields a deeper understanding of whatever they are studying.

**Michelle Francl**, Associate Professor of Chemistry at Bryn Mawr, finds that incorporating a contemplate approaches to scientific studies has pragmatic rewards. Contemplative practices are useful tools for developing the patience,
persistence and broad vision that result in scientific inquiry that is not reductionist. She offers her students “thresholds of writing” at the beginning and end of each class to collect themselves and their observations. Francl relates stories from Barbara McClintock and Gregor Mendel that demonstrate how science relies upon an “unforced pace,” and “a long, loving look at the world.” This quality of attention can open students to “more marvelous things than the scientific method allows,” and trains capacities for real insight.

Arthur Zajonc, Professor of Physics at Amherst College, shared his reflections on the development of Einstein’s theories and joined Michelle for questions and discussion following their presentations.

Rhonda Magee, Professor at the University of San Francisco School of Law, related how contemplative practice is spreading through the law world, but is mindful of the challenges in trying to shift such a formidable profession. Embedded in resolving a legal case is a set of values that gets transmitted: that humans are by nature alienated, that we must have the right to sue, that if the law doesn’t require it, we may not act well with each other at all. A contemplative approach can lead students to question these values, and consider what the law is, in all our lives.

In all her courses, Magee makes some use of contemplative techniques—a bell, some silence. She also introduces practices as ways to develop skills for effective lawyering: writing briefs in a contemplative way, using contemplative communication, and developing the capacity to deal with differences. Immigration and criminal law often involve clients who are very different from their lawyers, and practices support interacting in a more fully human way.

In the study and practice of law, decisions are often not clear, and their outcome is unknown. There are gut wrenching challenges. Magee believes lawyers need contemplative practices to sustain themselves so they can help create a more just world for the rest of us.

Paul Wapner is Associate Professor and Director of the Global Environmental Politics Program at the School of International Service at American University in Washington DC. He and his colleagues are “experts in urgency” and he finds that contemplative practices help. Wapner just finished writing a book, Living through the End of Nature, and reflected on our immediate situation: meeting here, remote from nature, eating food grown we know not where. We haven’t been outside all day.

And from a wider perspective, he notes, everything on the planet that is frozen is melting, 2010 was the hottest year on record, and conservation biologists say we are in the Sixth Great Extinction (dinosaurs were the fifth). Wapner wonders, “How do we respect this information, and hold it?” For years, he felt his job was to go into class and bum people out. They called his course “Introduction to Doom.” Then, in the last week, “like the credits to Al Gore’s movie,” he would tell them they can do something.

A contemplative approach has given him a different way to teach, to present crisis as both danger and opportunity. True, the stakes are high, but a mindful perspective looks at what is, and we are often looking away. Wapner is interested in turning discomfort into an inquiry that has the potential to make us more human and develop new capacities. He considers climate change a path to inner growth, a way to know what is and what should be and somehow love both.

As this last presentation came to a close, Wapner remarked, “I wish the weekend were starting now. We’re beginning to identify the questions.” And Ed Sarath went on to pose a pointed one those gathered in the Red Room, “What might it mean to let this rip?”

The papers presented will be revised and developed and will result in a published volume with an introduction and summary written by Arthur Zajonc and Dan Barbezat.
Presenters:

Daniel Barbezat, Professor of Economics, Amherst College
Michelle Francl, Associate Professor of Chemistry, Bryn Mawr
Al Kaszniak, Professor and Head of Psychology, University of Arizona
Rhonda Magee, Professor, University of San Francisco School of Law
Harold Roth, Professor of Religious Studies and East Asian Studies and Director of the Contemplative Studies Initiative, Brown University
Ed Sarath, Professor of Music and Director of the Program in Creativity and Consciousness Studies, University of Michigan
Judith Simmer-Brown, chair of Religious Studies, Naropa University
Patricia Wallace, Professor of English, Vassar College
Paul Wapner, Associate Professor and Director of the Global Environmental Politics Program, School of International Service, American University
Arthur Zajonc, Professor of Physics, Amherst College
Four New Initiatives Integrating Contemplative Pedagogy

1. Bringing Contemplation into Schools of Education: North America and Israel

Contemplative Education in North America

In collaboration with the Garrison Institute, the Center is planning a meeting on integrating contemplative awareness, pedagogy, and curriculum into schools of education. We will invite professors of education with an interest in the inclusion of contemplative methods in teacher training; administrators of schools of education; and educators developing curriculum for K-12. We see this population as a leverage point for affecting public school K-12 education. This meeting could lay the groundwork for a larger initiative (e.g. a conference or summer session).

Approximately 25 people will be invited representing the 4 types of institutions training educators:

- schools educating researchers and policy makers (e.g., Harvard)
- first-tier teachers colleges (e.g., Columbia)
- “teacher mills” (e.g., state colleges)
- schools educating for alternative education (e.g., Naropa, Antioch).

As part of this initiative, Mirabai Bush attended a meeting at Garrison on April 15 on taking contemplative education to scale in K-12.

Contemplative Education in Israel

Following on a working trip that Arthur Zajonc made to Israel, the Center is exploring a significant collaboration with the Dean of the School of Education at the University of Haifa and with the Teach for Israel program. We have been asked to provide help to them in developing contemplative programs for professors of education who are training Israel's teachers.
2. University Teaching & Learning Centers (TLC) Project

The Center has functioned as a national nucleating center for inspiring and supporting contemplative pedagogy in universities. From here, a promising way forward is to establish analogous, smaller nucleating centers on individual campuses. This new project focuses on college and university teaching and learning centers (TLCs) as promising sites.

There are currently over 200 TLCs on U.S. college and university campuses. TLCs at Vanderbilt University and Middlebury College have already demonstrated an interest in encouraging contemplative pedagogy. Professor Peter Felten, president of the Professional and Organizational Development (POD) in Higher Education network—the group that links TLCs nationwide—has also expressed strong interest in contemplative pedagogy.

To plan the TLC project, the Center is convening a strategic brainstorming and planning workshop on Sept. 10, 2011. Even before invitations have formally been extended, organizations expressing an interest in participating include POD, Vanderbilt University, Notre Dame, University of Virginia, and Kennesaw State University. The intended outcome of this workshop will be a three-year plan for developing contemplative pedagogy on campus via TLCs, as well as a list of schools that are willing to partner with the Center in implementing this strategy. In addition, the Center will use the results of this workshop to inform fundraising for this initiative.

As noted below, the Center will present two sessions on contemplative pedagogy during the Oct. 2011 Annual POD conference. We expect this to be an important venue for beginning to reach out to TLCs across the U.S.

Panel Presentations at the Annual POD (Professional and Organizational Development Network) Conference

October 26-30, 2011, Atlanta, GA

Two proposals for panel presentations have been accepted to the Professional and Organizational Development Network (POD) Conference. POD has a membership of 1800 and includes faculty, academic administrators, staff of teaching and learning centers, faculty development, evaluation, and assessment professionals, and many others interested in enhancing the quality of teaching and learning, and supporting the community of teachers and learners in higher education. The first, “Design & Use of Contemplative Pedagogy for Higher Education,” features Daniel Barbezat as the lead presenter and panelists from Vanderbilt University’s Teaching and Learning Center. The second presentation, “Contemplative Pedagogy and Diversity: Creating Collaboration and a Research Agenda,” has a special designation as a collaboration between POD and the Historically Black Colleges & Universities Faculty Development Network (HBCUFDN). It addresses this year’s conference focus on the central role of diversity, and includes panelists of contemplative educators from HBCUFDN. We expect these presentations will be well attended and promote the inclusion of contemplative pedagogical methods within teaching and learning centers.

Contemplative Pedagogy and the African-American Higher Education Community

June 18, 2011, Howard University, Washington, DC

The Center has convened a group of Contemplative Practice Fellows and other contemplative educators, including from several historically black colleges and universities, to explore ways of ensuring that contemplative pedagogy takes into account the background and circumstances of diverse student bodies. This one-day workshop will focus on African-American college students. Workshop
The workshop will prepare some of the participants for a panel presentation at the POD (Professional and Organizational Development Network) Conference, October 26-30, 2011 in Atlanta, GA. (See below) The annual conference this year is being co-organized with the Historically Black Colleges & Universities Faculty Development Network (HBCUFDN).

The workshop at Howard will also inform planning for the Center’s new Teaching and Learning Centers project, and will be a starting point for ensuring that contemplative pedagogy in higher education is attentive to the diversity of contemporary student bodies.

3. The Contemplative Practice Fellowships Evaluation

After supporting the Contemplative Practice Fellowship Program for 12 years in which 158 fellowships were awarded to professors from over 100 universities and colleges, we have completed a comprehensive evaluation of this groundbreaking program. While we had previously carried out evaluations of various program components, including two independent assessments of the fellowship program, we felt the time was right to learn more about how we can support the development of contemplative higher education: what is and isn’t working and why.

As we approached designing this evaluation, based on conversations with evaluation experts, we realized that one of our challenges is that there has been little, if any, research on evaluations of academic programs that have contemplative practice and perspective.

The 2010 evaluation of the Contemplative Practice Fellowship program used a mixed methods research design to elicit and document the experiences of 158 faculty fellows in the program over the 12-year period from 1997 to 2009. Data for the evaluation was gathered from a close reading and analysis of 12 years of Fellows’ reports; previous program evaluations completed in 2000 and 2003; in-depth interviews with a diverse sample of 10 Fellows from among the 45 who volunteered to be interviewed; and the responses of the 72 Fellows responding to a comprehensive online survey (47% response rate). A diverse and representative group of Fellows responded to the survey, in terms of academic discipline, gender, institution, and year of Fellowship.

Previous assessments of the Center’s academic program have demonstrated that it is having an impact at the individual and organizational levels in terms of perception and acceptance, curriculum development and teaching, research, and institutional development. We are very pleased to have completed this evaluation which we feel provides an even more rigorous analysis of the impact of the Contemplative Practice Fellowship Program and how we can better support the transformation of higher education.

Now that the evaluation is complete we expect that the results will be extremely useful to us in determining future directions and leading toward long term sustainability. The evaluation reflects the impact of the academic program to fellows/teachers who want to go deeper in applying contemplative practice in their classrooms and scholarship or who need to make the case for academic support for their contemplative work; to administrators who are interested in exploring how to create more contemplative institutions of higher education; and to funders who want to ensure their financial support achieves the desired impact and results.
Key Findings from the Evaluation

Impact of the Fellowships on the Fellows and their Teaching

- For 82% of the Fellows, the most significant impact of the Fellowships has been the “deeper sense of personal and professional integration” gained by using contemplative practices (CP) in their academic work.

- Of those Fellows responding to the survey, about 65% still use contemplative approaches in their teaching. 30% of the Fellows responding to the survey have been teaching using CP for over 10 years.

- Nearly half of the Fellows using contemplative pedagogies teach at doctoral/research universities; the numbers of these Fellows teaching at public and private institutions were comparable. Survey and interview results indicated that doctoral/research institutions may be slightly more open to the use of CP in teaching and research than is true at other institutional levels (e.g., baccalaureate); Fellows reported a moderate number of faculty at research universities who appear to be interested in CP, but are cautious in embracing it.

Effects of Contemplative Pedagogy on Students

- More than 2,600 students studied in the 130 original courses taught by the Fellows during the year of their Fellowships. Considering that 30% of the Fellows have taught using CP for over 10 years, this number is only a fraction of the students reached by the program overall.

- Nearly 65% of the Fellows thought that greater student ease and focus in class, and more thoughtful class contributions, were ‘often’ or ‘regular and important effects’ of using CP.

- Survey results indicated that Fellows are unsure of the nature and extent of CP’s influence on student academic performance (e.g. effect on subject matter grades and achievement).

The importance of community in contemplative education

- Participating in a supportive community of practice around CP in teaching and seeking out collaborative research opportunities with other CP faculty appear to be significant factors in a faculty member’s probability of continuing this work. Connection and community with other Fellows were important benefits of the Fellowship experience, including decreasing the sense of isolation for some Fellows. The majority of those Fellows who continue to use CP in their research and teaching share CP teaching materials and experiences and engage in sustained collaboration with other Fellows or faculty using contemplative pedagogies.

- The Fellows’ meetings held for each year’s cohort were a significant positive influence for all Fellows, giving rise to their desire for more contact with the Fellows in their cohort. Over 80% thought that the Fellows’ meeting “was a personally and professionally significant experience” that was “extremely important” or “beneficial” in helping them enter and become part of the community of Contemplative Practice Fellows.

Institutional Impact of the Contemplative Practice Fellowships

One of the major objectives of the evaluation was to collect from the Fellows comparable data on institutional impact across a range of institutional types, academic disciplines, and Fellowship years. According to analyses of the survey results:

- Familiarity with contemplative practice, as well as the acceptance of its use in academic courses and research, is increasing across a variety of institutional types, sizes, and geographic locations. While there are larger social and cultural factors contributing to this climate change in academia, experiences of the Contemplative Practice Fellows reported
on the survey serve as significant indicators of the direction and intensity of these changes.

• Based on the sample of faculty responding to the survey, it appears that there is no clear picture of the “ideal” institution whose climate is consistently open to the acceptance and incorporation of CP into the curriculum and campus life. The survey and interview results provide limited evidence that contemplative approaches can become established at baccalaureate colleges, doctoral research institutions, and professional schools, on public state-supported as well as private campuses, including faith-related institutions.

• Half of the survey respondents reported colleagues using CP in departments other than their own at their campuses, indicating that the “pockets of support” at these institutions are growing beyond one Fellow, one academic discipline, or one department or program.

**Institutional barriers to the adoption of CP on Fellows’ campuses**

• Survey and interview results confirmed that there is wide variability in the institutional response to faculty’s use of CP, that there is no one ideal situation or institutional profile for the establishment of CP, and that some of the apparent barriers to the acceptance of CP may in fact be apprehensions or misperceptions on the part of some Fellows themselves.

• Based on the Fellows’ comments on the survey, it appears that there is little overt or strong resistance on the part of faculty colleagues and administrators to the Fellows’ use of CP; predominant institutional attitudes toward contemplative pedagogy seem to be skepticism, lack of understanding, or disinterest.

---

**Changes and the Future of CP in Academia**

• The ten interviews provided a closer look at how circumstances have changed over the past decade in relation to the use of CP on these Fellows’ campuses. While no generalizations can be made concerning conditions across the larger group of the 107 Fellowship institutions, the progress in establishing CP at each of these diverse campuses is indicative of the growing acceptance of contemplative practice and pedagogy in academia.

• Fellows have been instrumental in introducing courses, establishing academic programs, and increasing the number of faculty using CP at their institutions (e.g. Honors Program at Marquette; ‘Difficult Dialogues’ courses at Clark; Contemplative Studies Initiative at Brown).

• Fellows have been using CP in credit-bearing courses within professional programs such as those in law, architecture, and business for over a decade, and interest in the use of CP is increasing among disciplinary organizations in these areas (e.g. the “Balance in Legal Education” division of the Association of American Law Schools; the “Management, Spirituality, and Religion” division of the Academy of Management).
4. Contemplative Pedagogy in Leadership Education Meeting Series
Exploring the contemplative dimensions of leadership and leadership education

- Harvard Graduate School of Education, January 11, 2011
- The Sackler Museum of Art, Harvard University, March 24, 2011

Report on a Gathering of Leadership Educators at the Harvard Graduate School of Education

Report and photography by Richard Sclove

The Center for Contemplative Mind in Society (CCMS) invited a small group of Boston-area leadership educators to spend the afternoon of January 11, 2011 discussing the role of contemplative practice within the education of leaders. Those attending included, among others, professors from Harvard University’s Business School, Graduate School of Education, and John F. Kennedy School of Government and from MIT’s Sloan School of Management. Harvard Professor of Education Jerome Murphy co-hosted the meeting along with CCMS Director and Amherst College Physics Professor Arthur Zajonc.

The goals for the gathering were:

- To understand the current situation concerning contemplative leadership, including its place in leadership education. What is taking place? Is there a theory or understanding of leadership that includes the contemplative dimension? Do we have the theory and practices necessary for fruitful innovation?

- To discuss the future place of contemplative theory and practice in leadership formation. What steps are needed to bring the contemplative more fully into leadership education and research?

Themes

Themes that emerged from the group’s engaged conversation include:

- **Opportunity**: Mainstream institutions, including universities, corporations, and even the military, are becoming increasingly receptive to contemplative practice. Leadership educators at Harvard and MIT who have incorporated meditation or other contemplative practices within their graduate teaching for a decade or more have found their work quietly gaining in esteem and appreciation among students, other professors and administrators. While there is still resistance and pushback, the noticeable shift from fringe toward the center indicates that the possibilities for introducing contemplative practice into leadership education are expanding.

- **Individual Benefits**: Graduate students in training for leadership who have taken courses that include contemplative practice have reported various benefits, including reduced stress, enhanced empathy, less defensiveness when confronted with conflict, and greater attentiveness to their deepest aspirations. It is important to become more systematic in identifying and documenting such benefits, as well as in identifying prevalent deficits in leaders’ capacities that contemplative practice might redress.

- **Concepts of Leadership**: A contemplative perspective on human potential can suggest that all people harbor latent capacities for leadership and greatness. In that respect, the integration of contemplative practice could conceivably inspire a broadening transformation in the conceptualization and institutionalization of leadership education.

- **Macro-Benefits**: The human capacity for destruction, as reflected in such societal and planetary threats as global warming, war and mass terrorism, can plausibly be interpreted as being, at least in part, symptoms of impaired human awareness and self-understanding. On the other hand, at their core contemplative practices are techniques that build awareness and self-
understanding. It was accordingly conjectured—qualified by a concern to appear neither grandiose nor Pollyannaish—that if such practices become more widely utilized, they have the potential to contribute vitally to addressing, or even averting, major societal crises. By enhancing moral development and creativity, contemplative practices can also conceivably unleash latent human potential, resulting in broad societal uplift.

• **Rationales and Research:** To build contemplative practice more systematically and effectively into leadership training, we need better articulated rationales or theory, supported by research.

• **Experience and Framework:** Effective contemplative pedagogy requires experiential methods as well as (a) supporting conceptual frameworks, articulated to students in accessible language, and/or (b) pedagogical settings that ensure that the experience is both effective and safe.

• **Context-Specific Best Practices:** It is important to identify best practices in contemplative pedagogy with respect to diverse contexts, both teaching contexts and subsequent leadership contexts.

• **Leadership-Specific Contemplative Pedagogies:** There is a need to distinguish generic contemplative pedagogies (e.g., pedagogies applicable within any educational setting) from those that are specifically adapted to the training of leaders.

• **Complementary and Synthetic Approaches:** Books about contemplative practice often dwell on individual practice and experience. However partnered and group contemplative practices issue in their own distinctive benefits. Group practice can, for example, build trust, commonality of purpose, and nourish shared creativity. It can also be valuable to integrate contemplation with other methods of interpersonal inquiry, such as dialogue and group reflection, and with conventional scientific research methods.

**Next Steps**

The group expressed enthusiasm for the afternoon’s discussion, and agreed that they would like to continue in some fashion. As a next step, CCMS will plan and convene several follow-up gatherings. Invited participants will be those who attended this meeting, as well as those who expressed interest but were unable to attend. To keep the group manageable in size and convivial, for the time being additional members will be invited very selectively.

The group asked that the next few meetings be structured as a faculty seminar, in which each time a single experienced group member or invited guest will lead based on her or his own work in teaching contemplative practice. There was a feeling that the next meetings should be experiential, and possibly experimental, rather than didactic. A few members would also like to include discussion of the understanding or emerging theory that informs and guides practice.

The group responded warmly when Ray Williams offered the Harvard Art Museum as a possible venue for the next gathering. Ray would gladly design or co-design a group contemplative exercise involving interaction with works of art.

It was agreed that initially the group will continue to meet informally under CCMS sponsorship, but that at some point it will likely prove useful to seek formal co-sponsorship by one or more of Harvard University’s professional schools and/or MIT Sloan’s school. Participating faculty from each institution will decide when it is appropriate to approach their respective Dean in either a preliminary or more formal way.
As follow-up to a prior gathering at Harvard University in January 2011, the Center for Contemplative Mind in Society (CCMS) invited a small group of Boston-area leadership educators to spend the afternoon of March 24th undertaking further explorations of the role that contemplative practice can play in educating effective leaders. Those attending included, among others, professors from Harvard University’s Business School, Graduate School of Education, and John F. Kennedy School of Government and from MIT’s Sloan School of Management. Ray Williams, Director of Education at the Harvard University Art Museums, co-hosted the meeting along with CCMS Director and Amherst College Physics Professor Arthur Zajonc.

Contemplating Works of Art

The first half of the meeting took place at Harvard’s Sackler Art Museum, where Ray Williams led the group in contemplative practices involving observation of works of art.

First, each participant chose a piece of work in the Asian art gallery and spent 5 - 10 minutes sketching it, thus being drawn into careful observation. Next, Ray sat the group in front of a large statue of the Buddha, and related a legend about the Buddha’s upbringing. The story can be interpreted as a parable about leadership education.

Finally, each group member chose at random a question pre-printed on a card. Sample questions included: “Find and contemplate a work of art that you find confusing” or “Find a work of art that reminds you of an event in your past.” Each participant spent 15 minutes walking through the museum’s Western art gallery, selected and reflected upon a single art work, and then took 2 – 3 minutes answering his or her respective question in front of the group. In this way the group experienced another form of contemplative observation, while also becoming better acquainted with one another.

Contemplative Leadership Training in a Corporate Setting

Janice Marturano, a General Mills vice president from corporate headquarters in Minneapolis, led the second half of the meeting. In the past five years she and Saki Santorelli (Center for Mindfulness at UMass Medical School)
have developed a series of retreats, workshops and on-site courses in mindful corporate leadership. Several hundred General Mills leaders have participated, as have roughly 100 leaders from 30 other organizations and corporations around the world.

Among the reported benefits of these trainings: Corporate meetings have leveled out, so that more people speak and more ideas are generated. There is less reactivity and greater openness to suggestions. Leaders say that they now operate less on auto-pilot, and make time to be more reflective.

Reflecting on this experience, Janice has distilled a number of lessons, including:

- Mindfulness training and excellence in leadership share four qualities: focus, creativity, clarity and compassion.
- It is crucial to present contemplative practice in a language that leaders find comfortable and accessible.
- Mindfulness training cannot be rushed. It requires adequate time and follow-up support to integrate the practices and results into daily life.
- Participation must spread virally and be voluntary, it cannot be mandated.

Saki added that, while not necessarily using this language, these corporate trainings incorporate the four pillars of mindfulness: attention to sensations in the body, to feelings, to thoughts, and to choiceless awareness.

**Next Steps**

The group agreed that they would like to meet again, and the afternoon of May 23rd emerged as a date when all present would be able to attend. There was interest in organizing gatherings that are highly experiential, perhaps even a half-day contemplative retreat together.

---

**Report on a 3rd Gathering of Leadership Educators Harvard University, May 23, 2011**

On May 23rd the Center for Contemplative Mind in Society convened the third in an ongoing series of gatherings at Harvard University to explore ways in which contemplative practice can improve the education of leaders. Responding to the group’s previously expressed wish to prioritize experiential practice over discussion or theory, Dr. Jon Kabat-Zinn, founder of the Center for Mindfulness at UMass Medical School, volunteered to lead a three-hour mini-retreat in mindfulness meditation. The 22 participants included, among others, faculty from Harvard University’s Business School, Graduate School of Education, John F. Kennedy School of Government, and Divinity School, as well as MIT’s Sloan School of Management, the Smith College School of Social Work, and staff from the Kriens Family Foundation. The group met in the serenely beautiful Class of 1959 Chapel at Harvard Business School.
Jon Kabat-Zinn observed that as a society, and especially in academia, we devote enormous effort to developing our capacity for intellectual reasoning, but in the process we frequently neglect other capabilities that are at least as valuable. In particular, we normally slight development of our capacity to exist and function in full awareness, thereby forfeiting existential and practical benefits that accrue with deepening mindfulness.

Jon proceeded to guide the group through several practices intended to build awareness, including awareness of what we are doing and awareness that we are aware. These practices included unstructured movement, walking meditation, and guided and unguided sitting meditation.

Afterwards participants broke into small groups to reflect on what such experiences can contribute to leadership or to educating leaders.

The opportunity to step out of ordinary intellect-dominated, work activities and instead share a set of quieting inward experiences was widely appreciated. Reporting out afterwards to the full group, people commented on ways in which contemplative practice can contribute to effective leadership by creating inner spaciousness and a broadened sense of possibility, reducing reactivity, or deepening abilities to integrate and respond to complexity. Others found humor or humility in the simple challenge of maintaining awareness, or spoke of the difficulty of holding awareness as we shift from formal inward practice to discussion or action.

(All L–R) Clockwise from upper left: Jon Kabat-Zinn, Rob Rodgers, and Otto Scharmer engage in mindful walking; Jerry Murphy and Otto Scharmer listening to Carolyn Jacobs; Grady McGonagill, Catherine Kerr, Pamela Seigle, Deborah Ancona, and Diana Chapman Walsh.
Reflections on Contemplative Leadership

A letter from Arthur Zajonc to participants on the morning of their third meeting.

Dear Friends,

I woke up this morning thinking of our gathering concerning contemplative dimensions of leadership, grateful for Jon's willingness to lead our afternoon session, and also pleased that many of you are able to participate. Several of you sent regrets, and we hope you will be able to come to the next session.

If I might presume on your patience, I would like to share a few thoughts concerning the contemplative dimensions of leadership drawn from my own experience. Many of you are far more expert in this area than I, but I write as much as a stimulus to others as to voice my own perspective.

This afternoon Jon will lead us in mindfulness practice that will focus on “the primacy of awareness and the quality of one’s attending.” In my own experience, the quality of attention we are able to bring to a given situation allows the present moment to open up and enfold into itself the possible future as well as the trajectory of the past. Perhaps it is the special characteristic of contemplation that it allows us to suspend and sustain complex and even contradictory elements which makes it so valuable. The difficult situation, or even a crisis, is met with poise and clarity if we have again and again found our way to stillness and attention. Complexity is not prematurely reduced, and a way forward that might have been unnoticed or unimagined emerges. Of silence Thomas Carlyle wrote, “Silence is the element in which great things fashion themselves together, that at length they may emerge, full-formed and majestic, into the daylight of life, which they are thenceforth to rule” (Sartor Resartus Bk III, Ch. III). Repeatedly I experience the fecundity of silence, of sustained stillness. It is not inaction, but an inward extension of the self, done in mindful awareness, whose fruits are the insights of science and the creative inflorescence of the arts, as well as leadership in society and business.

Contemplation is also traditionally the place of self-knowledge; yet ironically the repeated practice of self-discovery encourages us to look beyond ourselves. We learn to value more highly the remarkable capacities of our colleagues at the hand of self-knowledge, and we vividly experience that every enterprise is made up of the astounding, sustained, and competent co-working of many, many others for a common purpose. Mindful awareness can help me locate myself within that larger whole, and aid me in contributing according to my talents, skills, and understandings.

Finally, contemplation is a means of awakening. Profound change seldom happens through a centrally driven strategic planning process. The truly great societal and economic transformations occurred because someone was awake, profoundly awake. I think of it as peripheral planning, in which one needs to be truly aware of the time in which one lives, moment by moment, and the opportunity each moment affords. Each encounter, every conversation, can be the occasion for a teaching or learning, for an initiative or collaboration. Leading, therefore, is also about being awake. And so we must, as Thoreau said, “learn to reawaken ourselves and keep ourselves awake, not by mechanical aids, but by an infinite expectation of the dawn, which does not forsake us in our soundest sleep.” The new, that is to say the dawn, is to be expected, it will appear. New insights and profound change will arise, if we are awake to what approaches from the periphery.

I will see many of you this afternoon.

Arthur Zajonc
Director, Center for Contemplative Mind in Society
Professor of Physics, Amherst College
Contemplative Leadership Gathering Participants

Deborah Ancona, Director of the MIT Center for Leadership
Mirabai Bush, Founding Director, The Center for Contemplative Mind in Society
Daniel Goleman, Psychologist and Author of Emotional Intelligence
Ronald Heifetz, Senior Lecturer and Founder of the Center for Public Leadership, Harvard Kennedy School of Government
Rebecca Henderson, Professor of Environmental Management, Harvard Business School
Carolyn Jacobs, Dean of the Smith College School of Social Work
Mark Jordan, Professor of Divinity, Harvard Divinity School
Jon Kabat-Zinn, Founder of the Center for Mindfulness at the University of Massachusetts Medical School
Maribeth Kaptchuk, Licensed Acupuncturist, Cambridge
Catherine Kerr, Assistant Professor, Department of Family Medicine, Brown University Medical School
Janice Marturano, Vice President for Public Responsibility and Deputy General Counsel at General Mills and Executive Director of the Institute for Mindful Leadership
Metta McGarvey, Ph.D., Harvard Graduate School of Education
Grady McGonagill, President of McGonagill and Associates
Tamar Miller, Consultant to the Center for Contemplative Mind in Society
Jerome T. Murphy, Professor and former Dean, Harvard Graduate School of Education
Robert B. Rodgers, Business leader, and MA candidate in Theological Studies at Harvard Divinity School with Faith and Leadership focus
Ila Sarley, Program Officer, Kriens Foundation
Patton Sarley, Program Officer, Kriens Foundation
Saki Santorelli, Director, Center for Mindfulness, University of Massachusetts Medical School
Claus Otto Scharmer, Senior Lecturer at MIT and founding chair of the Presencing Institute
Richard Sclove, Teaching & Learning Centers Project Director, Center for Contemplative Mind in Society
Pamela Seigle, Executive Director, Courage & Renewal Northeast
Sandra Sucher, Professor of Management Practice, Harvard Business School
Ray Williams, Director of Education, Harvard Art Museums
William R. Torbert, Professor of Management Emeritus at the Carroll School of Management, Boston College
Diana Chapman Walsh, MIT Board of Directors and President Emerita of Wellesley College
Arthur Zajonc, Professor of Physics at Amherst College, and Director of Center for Contemplative Mind in Society
## 2010 Revenue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conference</td>
<td>$118,079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee for service</td>
<td>$177,607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundations</td>
<td>$727,694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individuals</td>
<td>$21,350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest/Other</td>
<td>$24,027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership</td>
<td>$14,120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Income</strong></td>
<td>$1,082,877</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* $218,490 of the 2010 Foundation revenue is for use in 2011.

## 2011 Budget Revenue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conference</td>
<td>$123,875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundations</td>
<td>$365,490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individuals</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest/Other</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Income</strong></td>
<td>$514,865</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 2010 Expenses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academic</td>
<td>$266,125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>$64,095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee for service</td>
<td>$165,830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Film project</td>
<td>$160,398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fundraising</td>
<td>$31,701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law</td>
<td>$60,342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Website</td>
<td>$10,480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expenses</strong></td>
<td>$758,971</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 2011 Budget Expenses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academic</td>
<td>$375,301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>$62,192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fundraising</td>
<td>$32,856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law</td>
<td>$16,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Website</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expenses</strong></td>
<td>$506,999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Financial Information

- Conference
- Fee for service
- Foundations
- Individuals
- Interest and other income
- Membership
We would like to thank the following foundations for their support during the year 2010:

Clements Foundation
Fetzer Institute
Hershey Family Foundation
Kalliopeia Foundation
Kriens Family Foundation
M&T Weiner Foundation
Natem Foundation
Robert H. N. Ho Family Foundation

We are grateful to the many individuals who helped make our work in 2010 possible.
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Arthur Zajonc
Director

Daniel Barbezat
Associate Director

Mirabai Bush
Associate Director

Carrie Bergman
Program Associate

Sunanda Markus
Program Associate

Lila Mereschuk
Director of Administration

Richard Sclove
Teaching & Learning Centers Project Director

Beth Wadham
Academic Program Associate
2011 Calendar of Events

January 11  Contemplative Leadership Meeting
Harvard Graduate School of Education, Cambridge, MA (co-hosted by Jerry Murphy, Professor of Education, Harvard)

February 10  Meditation for Academic Excellence—and Beyond
Middlebury College, VT (Arthur Zajonc)

February 11  Interactive Workshop for Faculty
Middlebury College, VT (Arthur Zajonc)

February 23  Contemplative Activism: Meditations Adapted from Tibet to Empower Service and Action
A webinar with John Makransky, Associate Professor of Buddhism and Comparative Theology, Boston College

March 18-19  Mindfulness: A Foundation for Teaching and Learning
American University, Washington, DC (co-hosted by the Mindfulness in Education Network)

March 24  Contemplative Leadership Meeting
Sackler Museum of Art, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA (co-hosted by Ray Williams, Director of Education at the Harvard University Art Museums)

March 25-27  Throughout the Curriculum: Contemplative Practices in Higher Education
Amherst College, Amherst, MA (symposium co-hosted by Amherst College)

March 30  The Contemplative Spirituality of Toni Morrison
A webinar with Linda-Susan Beard, Associate Professor of English, Bryn Mawr College

April 15  Contemplative Pedagogy in Schools of Education
A planning meeting at Garrison Institute, Garrison, NY (Mirabai Bush)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May 23</td>
<td><strong>Contemplative Leadership Meeting</strong></td>
<td>Class of 1959 Chapel at Harvard Business School (mini-retreat with Jon Kabat-Zinn)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 25</td>
<td><strong>Integrating Trial Advocacy and Mindfulness Theory &amp; Practice</strong></td>
<td>A webinar with David Zlotnick, Professor of Law, Roger Williams University School of Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 18</td>
<td><strong>Contemplative Pedagogy and the African-American Higher Education Community</strong></td>
<td>Howard University, Washington, DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 1-3</td>
<td><strong>Regional ACMHE Conference</strong></td>
<td>Whidbey Institute, Clinton, WA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 7-12</td>
<td><strong>7th Annual Summer Session on Contemplative Curriculum Development</strong></td>
<td>Smith College, Northampton, MA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 10-11</td>
<td><strong>Contemplative Pedagogy and Teaching and Learning Centers</strong></td>
<td>A planning meeting at Amherst College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 28</td>
<td><strong>Developing the Executive Mind: Teaching Managers to Manage Themselves</strong></td>
<td>A webinar with Jeremy Hunter, Professor, Peter F. Drucker Graduate School of Management, Claremont Graduate School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 29-30</td>
<td><strong>Panel Presentations</strong></td>
<td>Annual POD (Professional and Organizational Development Network) Conference, Atlanta, GA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 11-13</td>
<td><strong>3rd Annual ACMHE Conference: The Contemplative Campus</strong></td>
<td>Amherst College, Amherst, MA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 17-20</td>
<td><strong>Retreat for Academics</strong></td>
<td>Garrison Institute, Garrison, NY</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Center for Contemplative Mind in Society

www.contemplativemind.org

15 Conz Street, Suite 1
Northampton, MA 01060

(413) 582-0071 (phone)
(413) 582-1330 (fax)
info@contemplativemind.org